

# Projection algorithms and convergence theory

E.A. Nurminski

Institute for Automation and Control Processes  
Vladivostok, Russia  
nurmi@dvo.ru

Universita della Calabria  
December 2008

Convex optimization problem

$$\min_x f(x) = -f^*(0) = \sup_x \{0x - f(x)\}$$

### Algorithmic idea

Reduce computation of  $f^*(0)$  to projections on approximation of  $\text{epi } f^*$ .

If it were possible to project on  $\text{epi } f^*$  itself then we would have superlinear convergence !

Least distance problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \|x\| &= \|x^*\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \hat{x}^i \right\| & (1) \\ x &= \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \hat{x}^i \\ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m) &\in \Delta_m \end{aligned}$$

Wolfe algorithm:

- 1 For *certain*  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  solve (??) without nonnegativity constraint. If some  $\lambda_i^*$  is negative, drop it from  $I$  according to some rule and resolve.
- 2 For  $x^* = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^* \hat{x}^i$  find  $k_I$  such that  $\hat{x}^{k_I} x^* = \min \hat{x}^i x^*$  and add it to corral:  $I \rightarrow I \cup k_I$ .

Type of convergence:

$$\|x^k\| \leq Cq^k, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

where  $k$  is a number of iterations.

If  $0 \notin \text{co} \{\hat{x}^1, \hat{x}^2, \dots, \hat{x}^m\}$  convergence is finite and "better than linear".

Precise upper bound for  $q$  is unknown.

Least norm solution for a system of inequalities:

$$\min_{Ax \leq b} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|$$

$A$  —  $m \times n$  matrix, etc.

Applying exact penalty: there exists  $\Gamma > 0$  such that for all  $\gamma \geq \Gamma$

$$\min_{Ax \leq b} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \gamma |Ax - b|_{\infty}^+ \right\} \quad (2)$$

where  $|Ax - b|_{\infty}^+ = \max\{0, \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} (Ax - b)_i\}$ .

Denote  $\bar{x} = (x, x_{n+1})$  and  $\bar{A} = \|A|b\|$ .

Then

$$Ax \leq b \leftrightarrow \bar{A}\bar{x} \leq 0, \bar{x}_{n+1} = 1$$

Moreover

$$|Ax - b|_{\infty}^+ = |\bar{A}\bar{x}|_{\infty}^+ = \max_{\lambda \in \Delta_m} \text{co} \{0, (\bar{A}\bar{x})_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Therefore in terms of support function:

$$|Ax - b|_{\infty}^+ = (\text{co} \{0, (\bar{A})_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\})_{\bar{x}}$$

with  $\bar{x} = (x, 1)$ .

Rewriting penalty term:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{Ax \leq b} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2 + \gamma (\text{co} \{0, (\bar{A})_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)_{\bar{x}} \right\} = \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2 + (\gamma \text{co} \{0, (\bar{A})_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)_{\bar{x}} \right\} = \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2 + (D_\gamma)_{\bar{x}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_\gamma = \gamma \text{co} \{0, (\bar{A})_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and again  $\bar{x} = (x, 1)$ .

Using Lagrange relaxation on  $\bar{x}_{n+1} = 1 = \bar{x}e^{n+1}$  obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{Ax \leq b} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 &= \max_u \min_{\bar{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2 + (D_\gamma)_{\bar{x}} + u(\bar{x}e^{n+1} - 1) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} = \\ &= \max_u \left\{ -u + \min_{\bar{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2 + (D_\gamma + ue^{n+1})_{\bar{x}} \right\} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The essential part of above is

$$\phi(u) = - \min_{\bar{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2 + (D_\gamma + ue^{n+1})_{\bar{x}} \right\} = \min_{\bar{x} \in D_\gamma + ue^{n+1}} \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2$$

where  $D_\gamma = \gamma \text{co} \{0, (\bar{A})_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $\gamma$  arbitrary large.



It can be shown that for " $\gamma = \infty$ "

$$\phi(u) = \alpha u^2, \alpha = \phi(1) > 0$$

and hence

$$\min_{Ax \leq b} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 = -\frac{1}{4\phi(1)}.$$

that is it is sufficient to solve the polytope-like problem

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{x}\|^2$$

$$\bar{x} \in \text{Co}\{\bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} + e^{n+1}$$

with with only  $m$  rays and  $n + 1$  variables.



