Graph-Theoretical Approaches in Big Optimization

Nurminski E.A. nurminskiy.ea@dvfu.ru

Far Eastern Federal University, Vladivostok

Optmization Methods and Applications Irkutsk, June 30 – July 06, 2014

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

Ε

 Ω

メロト メタト メミト メミト

重

 299

Big Data vs Big Computing

Source: Comm. ACM, vol. 57(7), 2014.

Optimization dream: to solve $\infty \times \infty$ problem

メロト メタト メミト メミトー 重 299

 299

造

Optimization dream: to solve $\infty \times \infty$ problem

Successive approximations:

メロメ メ部 メメモメ メモメー

 2990

Ε

Optimization dream: to solve $\infty \times \infty$ problem

Successive approximations:

Megabyte-optimization: 10^6-10^8 variables/constraints;

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Ε

 QQ

Optimization dream: to solve $\infty \times \infty$ problem

Successive approximations:

- Megabyte-optimization: 10^6-10^8 variables/constraints;
- Gigabyte-optimization: $10^9 10^{11}$ variables/constraints;

イロメ イ部メ イモメ イモメー

 Ω

Optimization dream: to solve $\infty \times \infty$ problem

Successive approximations:

- Megabyte-optimization: 10^6-10^8 variables/constraints;
- Gigabyte-optimization: $10^9 10^{11}$ variables/constraints;
- \bullet Terabyte-optimization: $10^{12} 10^{14}$ variables/constraints;

メロメ メタメ メモメ メモメー

Ε

 Ω

Optimization dream: to solve $\infty \times \infty$ problem

Successive approximations:

- Megabyte-optimization: 10^6-10^8 variables/constraints;
- Gigabyte-optimization: $10^9 10^{11}$ variables/constraints;
- \bullet Terabyte-optimization: $10^{12} 10^{14}$ variables/constraints;

 \bullet etc...

 Ω

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメ

Simple algorithms 1

Coordinate descent:

- Y. Nesterov, Efficiency of coordinate descent methods on huge-scale optimization problems, SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 341-362, 2012.
- Z. Qin, K. Scheinberg, and D. Goldfarb, Efficient block-coordinate descent algorithms for the group lasso, Mathematical Programming Computation, vol. 5, pp. 143-169, June 2013.
- I. Necoara and D. Clipici, Efficient parallel coordinate descent algorithm for convex optimization problems with separable constraints:application to distributed MPC, Journal of Process Control, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 243-253, March 2013

 \bullet etc...

 209

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメ

Simple algorithms 2

Gradient-type algorithms:

- Y. Nesterov, Gradient methods for minimizing composite functions, Mathematical Programming, vol. 140, pp. 125-161, 2013.
- M.A.T. Figueiredo, R.D. Nowak, S.J. Wright Gradient Projection for Sparse Reconstruction: Application to Compressed Sensing and Other Inverse Problems IEEE J. Sel.Topics in Signal Processing

 e etc $\overline{}$

Simple algorithms 3

Projection methods.

- **Bauschke H., Borwein J. Projection Methods, SIAM J. Optimization,** 1996
- D. Henrion and J. Malick. Projection methods for conic feasibility problems; application to sum-of-squares decompositions Optimization Methods and Software, 26(1):23-46, 2011.
- D. Henrion, J. Malick Projection methods in conic optimization Optimization Online.
- J. Nie Regularization methods for sum of squares relaxations in large scale polynomial optimization. Technical report, ArXiv, 2009.

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメ

 Ω

• And many others ...

Projection methods. Notation

Our main tool:

 $\Pi_X : E \to X$ — the orthogonal projection operator.

$$
\Pi_X(x) = \underset{z \in X}{\text{argmin}} \min_{\|x - z\|^2}.
$$

Basic property: non-expansive and commonly contractive or can be easily modified to such.

重

メロメ メ都 メメ きょうくきょう

Connections to optimization

Convex optimization problem:

$$
f(x^*) = \min_{x \in X} f(x)
$$

Equivalent formulations:

Variational optimality condition

$$
g(x - x^*) \ge 0
$$

$$
\forall x \in X, g \in \partial f(x^*)
$$

• Fixed point problem for the projection operator:

$$
x^* = \Phi_{X,\lambda}(x^*),
$$

where $\Phi_{X,\lambda}(x) = \Pi_X(x - \lambda g)$, $g \in \partial f(x)$, $\Pi_X(\cdot)$ is the orthogonal projection operator, $\lambda > 0$ step multiplier. Ω

Algorithmika

Iteration method:

$$
x^{k+1} = \Phi_{X,\lambda}(x^k), \ k = 0,1,\ldots
$$

or

$$
x^{k+1} = \Pi_X(x^k - \lambda g^k), \ g^k \in \partial f(x^k), \ k = 0, 1, \ldots
$$

Properties:

 $+$ Theoretically sound: based on contraction property of $\Pi_{X}(\cdot)$;

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

Ε

 209

- $+$ Suitable for Big O with respect to memory requirements;
- $+$ Provides decomposition/parallelization opportunities.
	- Slow (linear) convergence;
	- Nontrivial sub-problem to solve.

Reduction to projection

Seems to be a folklore $¹$ </sup>

$$
\min_{\Delta x \leq b} cx = \min_{x \in X} cx \rightarrow \Pi_X(x^0 - \theta c)
$$

for arbitrary x^0 and large enough $\theta > 0$. As

$$
\Pi_X(x^0 - \theta c) = \Pi_{X - x^0 + \theta c}(0) = \Pi_{\bar{X}}(0)
$$

it amounts to the least norm problem for the set \overline{X}

$$
\bar{X} = \{x : Ax \leq \bar{b}\}
$$

where $\bar{b} = b - A(x^0 - \theta c)$.

 1 But true for polyhedral sets only ...

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 209 Nurminski FEFU

Least Norm Problem

Basic steps:

- Step 1. Change to uniform constraints
- Step 2. Use exact penalty function
- Step 3. Dualize
- Step 4. Project onto polyhedral cone

Ε

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

メロト メ都 トメ ミト メ ヨト

 2990

活

Step 1. Change to uniform constraints

We convert to cone constraints by adding extra $(n + 1$ -th) variable:

$$
X = \{x : Ax \leq b\} \rightarrow \bar{X} = \{\bar{x} : \bar{A}\bar{x} \leq 0\}, \bar{x}e^{n+1} = 1
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bullet \ \bar{x} &= (x, \xi) \in E^{n+1} \\
\bullet \ \bar{A} &= ||A \ - b||\n\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Use exact penalty function

We use nondifferentiable exact penalty function to get rid of the all but one constraint:

$$
\min_{\substack{\bar{x} \in \bar{X} \\ \bar{x}e^{n+1} = 1}} \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 = \min_{\bar{x}e^{n+1} = 1} \{ \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 + \gamma |\bar{A}\bar{x}|_{\infty}^+ \}
$$

for $\gamma > 0$ large enough, $|\cdot|_{\infty}^{+} = \max\{0, \cdot\}.$ Notice that

$$
|\bar{A}\bar{x}|_{\infty}^{+} = \max_{\lambda \in \Delta_{m+1}} (\lambda_0 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \bar{A}_i) \bar{x} = \max_{z \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}} z \bar{x} = (\bar{\mathcal{A}})_{\bar{x}}
$$

— the support function of the set $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{co} \{ 0, \bar{A}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \}.$ イロメ イ団メ イモメ イモメ

Step 3. Dualization

Finally the problem is dualized with respect to the single non-uniform constraint:

$$
\min_{\bar{x}e^{n+1} = 1} \{\frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 + \gamma (\bar{\mathcal{A}})_{\bar{x}}\} =
$$

$$
\max_{u} \min_{\bar{x}} \{\frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 + \gamma (\bar{\mathcal{A}})_{\bar{x}} + u(\bar{x}e^{n+1} - 1)\} = \max_{u} \{\phi_{\gamma}(u) - u\}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{\gamma}(u) = \min_{\bar{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 + \gamma \left(\bar{\mathcal{A}}\right)_{\bar{x}} + u\bar{x}e^{n+1} \right\} =
$$

$$
\min_{\bar{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 + \left(\gamma \bar{\mathcal{A}} - u e^{n+1}\right)_{\bar{x}}
$$

As it happened the function $\phi_{\gamma}(u)$ becomes trivial for large γ .

Ε

メロト メタト メミト メミト

Smth from Convex Analysis

Not too well-known but useful formula from convex analysis

$$
\Pi_{Ax \leq b}(0) = \min_{\substack{Ax \leq b}} \frac{1}{2} ||x||^2 = - \min_{u} \{ \frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 + (Ax \leq b)_u \}
$$

where $(Z)_{\mu} = \sup_{z \in Z} uz$ — the support function of the set Z.

Easy to derive from $Z = \text{co}\{ \hat{z}^1, \hat{z}^2, \ldots, \hat{z}^N \}$ for polyhedral Z and for a general convex set in the finite dimensional case thanks to Karatheodori theorem.

 $A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B$

 Ω

キロメ メ都 メメ きょうくぼう

 Ω

Step 4. Projection on the polyhedral cone

The final result of the transformations above was to hide all complexities into

$$
\phi_{\gamma}(u) = \min_{\bar{x}} \{ \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 + (\gamma \bar{A} - u e^{n+1})_{\bar{x}} \}
$$

but from the previous slide

$$
\phi_{\gamma}(u) = \min_{\bar{x} \in \gamma \bar{\mathcal{A}} - ue^{n+1}} \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x}||^2 =
$$

min_{\\\bar{x} \in \gamma \bar{\mathcal{A}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||\bar{x} - ue^{n+1}||^2 = \Pi_{\gamma \bar{\mathcal{A}}} (ue^{n+1})}

and this is very simple problem for large γ .

The value of the function $\phi_{\gamma}(u)$ depends on γ for a given u_{\star} for (small) changes in γ . メロト メ御 トメ ミト メモト

活

The value of the function $\phi_{\gamma}(u)$ does not depend on further increase of γ for a given u_{\star} . 299 メロト メ御 トメ ミト メモト

活

Formal proof

- Let $K_A = \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda A$, of course convex cone.
- Then $\textit{dist}(u e^{n+1}, K_\mathcal{A}) = \phi(u) = \phi(1) u^2 \leq \phi_\gamma(u)$ due to linearity and $\lambda A \subset K_A$.
- Let $u₊$ solves min_u $\{\phi(u) u\}$. Actually $u₊ = 1/2\phi(1)$. Then $\phi(u_\star)=\|z^\star-u_\star e^{\tilde n+1}\|^2$ with $z^\star\in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{A}$ and therefore $z^\star\in \lambda\mathcal{A}$ for all λ greater then certain λ_{\star} .
- It leads to $\phi_{\lambda}(u_{\star}) \leq \phi(u_{\star})$ for such $\lambda \geq \lambda_{\star}$ and hence $\phi_{\lambda}(u_{\star}) = \phi(u_{\star}).$
- Finally from $\phi_{\gamma}(u) u > \phi(u) u$ for all u and

$$
\phi_{\gamma}(u_{\star})-u_{\star}=\phi(u_{\star})-u_{\star}\leq\phi(u)-u\leq\phi_{\gamma}(u)-u
$$

 $A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B$

 Ω

follows that $u₊$ in fact minimizes $\phi_{\lambda}(u) - u$.

Projection on the polyhedral cone

The key computational problem:

$$
\phi(1) = \min_{z \in K(\mathcal{A})} ||z - e^{n+1}||^2 = \Pi_{K(\mathcal{A})}(e^{n+1}),
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})=\mathrm{Co}\{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_i,i=1,2,\ldots,m\}.$

Closed form solution $z^* = \bar{A}'(\bar{A}\bar{A}')^{-1}\bar{A}e^{n+1}$ is unrealistic however for Big O as well as the chain rule

$$
v = \bar{A}e^{n+1} \rightarrow (\bar{A}\bar{A}')w = v \rightarrow z^* = \bar{A}'w
$$

Nurminski FEFU

 Ω

重

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくきょう

We can use the property of the conical hull

$$
K(\mathcal{A})=\mathrm{Co}\{\bar{A}_i,i=1,2,\ldots,m\}=\mathrm{Co}\{\mathrm{Co}\{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k\},k=1,2,\ldots,K\},\
$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k = \{\bar{\mathsf{A}}_i, i \in I_k\}$ and index sets I_k cover the whole range of rows $1, 2, \ldots, m$.

In turn $\Pi_{K(\bar{\mathcal{A}})}(e^{n+1})$ can be reduced to separate (and parallel) projections $\Pi_{K(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k)}(e^{n+1})$ (with slight modifications).

イロト イ団 トメミト メミト ニミー のなび

Sketch of Decomposition-Coordination

General idea: iterate between two steps Coordination (C) and Decomposition (D):

> C: Get proposals \bar{z}^k , $k = 1, 2, ..., K$ from each of sub-problems and solve the coordination problem

$$
\|\bar{z}-e^{n+1}\|^2=\min\|z-e^{n+1}\|^2, z\in \mathrm{Co}\{z^k, k=1,2,\ldots,K\}
$$

 D : For each of sub-problems modify the feasible cone $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_k = \text{Co}\{\bar{z}, \mathcal{K}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k)\}$ and solve for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ sub-problems

$$
\|\bar{z}^k - e^{n+1}\|^2 = \text{min}\,\|z - e^{n+1}\|^2, z \in \tilde{K}_k\}
$$

to get new proposals $\bar{z}^k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$.

To initialize the process one can use of course an arbitrary $\bar z^k\in\mathcal{K}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k)$ or to think of smth not that stupid. $($ ロ) $($ $($ $\frac{1}{2}$ $)$ $($ $\frac{1}{2}$ $)$ $($ $\frac{1}{2}$ $)$ QQQ

 Ω

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメ

Historical remarks

This idea can be traced back at least as far as Demyanov V.F., Malozemov V.N. Introduction to Minmax, M.: Nauka, where it was used in its simplest form.

In its current form in was proposed by Nurminski E. (IzVuz, somewhere in '90).

Some improvements due to Nurminski E, Dolgy D. in Korean Univ publication, 2012.

Probably there are many similar proposals, pls let me know.

- \bullet \mathcal{A}_k should not be too big or ill-structured to complicate solutions of sub-problems.
- \bullet \mathcal{A}_k should not be too small to make the coordination problem too big or complicated.

Definition Vectors a and b from E^n are called structurally orthogonal (or independent) if $a_ib_i=0$ for all $i=1,2,\ldots,n.$ 2

Definition An $m \times n$ matrix A is called structurally orthogonal if its rows A_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ are structurally orthogonal.

For such matrices the projection problem has linear complexity as AA' is a diagonal matrix.

²Of course it is more restrictive than orthogonality (implies it) and less restrictive than complementarity (no sign constraints). Somewhere [in](#page-27-0) [bet](#page-29-0)[w](#page-27-0)[ee](#page-28-0)[n.](#page-29-0) QQQ

Structurally Orthogonal Decomposition

Select I_k such that the corresponding $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k$ are structurally (s-) orthogonal.

- Define graph $G_A = (V_A, E_A)$, where
	- \bullet V_A the set of rows of A,
	- E_A the set of edges $e = (v_1, v_2) \in V_A \times V_A$ such that v_1 is NOT s-orthogonal to v_2 .

The set of mutually s-orthogonal rows is a set of independent nodes in graph G.

Problem: Decompose the graph into minimal number of independent components (coloring).

Specifics: Graphs are huge, but sparse. Solutions with relatively small (up to $10^4)$ uncolored reminders are acceptable.

K ロ > K @ > K 경 > K 경 > 시 경

Heuristics

Greedy:

- **•** try to build from the current graph an independent set as big as possible;
- delete this set from the graph (with incidents edges of course);

メロト メタト メミト メミト

Ε

 Ω

• continue with the rest of the graph.

Allows for many variants.

LP-specifics

Simplest cases:

- Sign constraints: $x > 0 -$ all constraints are s-orthogonal;
- Two-sided constrains: $l \le x \le u-2$ s-orth sets, 2^n variants, any combination of lower-upper constraints;
- Transportation problem: 2 s-orth sets, supply balances and demand balances or any combination;
- Canonical $m \times n$, $m \ll n$ LP:

min cx $Ax = b: x > 0$

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

 Ω

1 s-ort set (sign constraints), general equality constraints as "reminder".

 $($ ロ) $($ $($ $\frac{1}{2}$ $)$ $($ $\frac{1}{2}$ $)$ $($ $\frac{1}{2}$ $)$

 QQ

SHELL_0 (www.netlib.org) 537 cnst, 1775 vars, 4900 nz (0.5%)

Graph stat: 537 nodes, 2210 arcs.

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right\}$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\}$

 299

目

SHELL 1 (www.netlib.org) $|IS| = 278$

Graph stat: 257 nodes, 781 arcs

キロメ メ御き メモチ メモチ

 299

Ε

SHELL 2 (www.netlib.org) $|IS| = 159$

Graph stat: 96 nodes, 254 arcs

メロト メ都 トメ ミト メ ヨト

 299

活

SHELL 3 (www.netlib.org) $|IS| = 68$

Graph stat: 28 nodes, 65 arcs

メロメ メ部 メメモメ メモメー

 299

造

SHELL (www.netlib.org) Summary of the selection process

GREENBEA, www.netlib.org 2374x5323x30230 (0.24%)

 QQ **◆ ロ ▶ → イ 冊** \mathbf{p} k. Þ \mathbf{p} E

 299

目

GREENBEA/20 – the giant core (1105 constraints)

GREENBEA 1 1313 cnst, $|IS| = 1075$, 18148 ars

Ε

GREENBEA 2 866 cnst, $|IS| = 447$, 9938 arcs

メロト メ御 トメ ミト メモト 299 目

GREENBEA 3 685 cnst, $|IS| = 181$, 7415 arcs

メロメ メタメ メミメ メミ 299 . p

 299

Ε

GREENBEA 4 549 cnst, $|IS| = 136$, 5490 arcs

GREENBEA 5 450 cnst, $|IS| = 99$, 4310 arcs

 299

GREENBEA 6 350 cnst, $|IS| = 99$, 3135 arcs

 290

GREENBEA 7 264 cnst, $|IS| = 81$, 2470 arcs

GREENBEA $8 191 \text{ const}, |IS| = 67$, 1960 arcs

GREENBEA 9 149 cnst, $|IS| = 40$, 1601 arcs

メロト メタト メミト メミト 重 299

メロトメタトメ ミドメ ミドー ミー のんぺ

Convergence of the projection procedure

Almost Gigabyte-Optimization

